| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVA Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151) charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502) davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649) melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com John Neukom (Bar No. 275887) johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com Jordan Jaffe (Bar No. 254886) jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4788 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700 | N, LLP | |
|-----------------|---|---|--|
| 9 | Attorneys for WAYMO LLC | | |
| 10 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 11 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION | | |
| 12 | WAYMO LLC, | CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA | |
| 13 | Plaintiff, | PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S | |
| 14 | VS. | ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL ITS MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM NON-DISPOSITIVE | |
| 15 16 | UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC, | PRETRIAL ORDER OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE (DKT. 2006) | |
| 17 | Defendants. | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | | | |

CASE No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

WAYMO'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11 and 79-5, Plaintiff Waymo LLC ("Waymo") respectfully requests to file under seal information in its Motion for relief from Non-Dispositive Pretrial Order of Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 2006) ("Waymo's Brief), filed concurrently herewith. Specifically, Waymo requests an order granting leave to file under seal the portions of the documents as listed below:

| Document | Portions to Be Filed Under Seal | Designating Party |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Waymo's Brief | Highlighted in blue | Defendants |
| | Highlighted in green | Waymo |
| Exhibit 1 to Waymo's Brief | Entire document | Defendants |
| | Highlighted in green | Waymo |
| Exhibit 2 to Waymo's Brief | Entire document | Defendants |
| | Highlighted in green | Waymo |
| Exhibit 3 to Waymo's Brief | Entire document | Waymo |
| Exhibit 4 to Waymo's Brief | Entire document | Waymo |
| Exhibit 5 to Waymo's Brief | Entire document | Waymo; Defendants |
| Exhibit 6 to Waymo's Brief | Entire document | Defendants |
| Exhibit 7 to Waymo's Brief | Entire document | Waymo; Defendants |
| Exhibit 8 to Waymo's Brief | Entire document | Defendants |
| Exhibit 9 to Waymo's Brief | Entire document | Defendants |
| Exhibit 10 to Waymo's Brief | Entire document | Waymo |

I. <u>LEGAL STANDARD</u>

Civil Local Rule 79-5 requires that a party seeking sealing "establish[] that the document, or portions thereof, are privileged, protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law" (*i.e.*, is "sealable"). Civil L.R. 79-5(b). The sealing request must also "be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material." *Id*.

II. <u>DEFENDANTS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION</u>

Waymo seeks to seal these documents only because Defendants have designated the information confidential and/or highly confidential. Declaration of Felipe Corredor ("Corredor Decl.") ¶ 3. Waymo takes no position on the merits of sealing the designated material, and expects Defendants to file one or more declarations in accordance with the Local Rules.

III. THE COURT SHOULD SEAL WAYMO'S CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

The Court should also seal the portions of Waymo's Brief and exhibits thereto as identified in the table above. Waymo seeks to file this information under seal because it discloses technical

| information regarding Waymo's trade secrets. See Corredor Decl. ¶ 4. Courts have determined that | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| trade secret information merits sealing. Music Grp. Macao Commercial Offshore Ltd. v. Foote, No. | | | |
| 14-cv-03078-JSC, 2015 WL 3993147, at *1 (N.D. Cal. June 30, 2015) (quoting <i>Kamakana</i> , 447 F.3d | | | |
| at 1179); see also Brocade Commc'ns Sys., Inc. v. A10 Networks, Inc., No. C 10-3428 PSG, 2013 WL | | | |
| 211115, at *1, *3 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 17, 2013) (granting request to seal document that "consists entirely | | | |
| of descriptions of Brocade's trade secrets."). Waymo seeks to seal trade secret information that fits | | | |
| squarely within these categories. Corredor Decl. ¶ 4. Waymo maintains this information as a trade | | | |
| secret (see Dkt. 25-31) and ensures the information remains secret with strict secrecy and security | | | |
| protocols (see Dkt. 25-47; Dkt. 25-49.). Id. Waymo has narrowly tailored its requests to only | | | |
| information meriting sealing. Id. In fact, both Music Grp. and Brocade found the confidential | | | |
| information at issue in those cases met the heightened "compelling reasons" standard for sealing. | | | |
| Music Grp., 2015 WL 3993147, at *1; Brocade, 2013 WL 211115, at *1, *3. The information that | | | |
| Waymo seeks to seal, therefore, also meets this heightened standard. The disclosure of Waymo's | | | |
| trade secrets would harm Waymo. Corredor Decl. ¶ 4. Moreover, the scope of information that | | | |
| Waymo is seeking to seal is consistent with other administrative motions to seal that have already | | | |
| been granted by the Court in this case. (See Dkt. 681.) Thus, the Court should grant Waymo's | | | |
| administrative motion to seal. | | | |
| IV CONCLUSION | | | |

IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

In compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5(d), redacted and unredacted versions of the above listed documents accompany this Administrative Motion. For the foregoing reasons, Waymo respectfully requests that the Court grant Waymo's Administrative Motion.

wayino respectfully requests that the court grant wayino s runningtrative wiotion.

DATED: October 17, 2017 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

By /s/ Charles K. Verhoeven
Charles K. Verhoeven

Charles K. Verhoeven
Attorneys for WAYMO LLC